



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/475,220	12/30/1999	KELLY S. FRENCH	99-1259	6082

30408 7590 08/28/2002

GATEWAY, INC.  
ATTENTION: IP LAW GROUP (MAIL STOP SD-21)  
14303 GATEWAY PLACE  
POWAY, CA 92064

EXAMINER

TRAN, TRANG U

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2614

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/475,220

Applicant(s)

FRENCH, KELLY S.

Examiner

Trang U. Tran

Art Unit

2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2,3. 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipate by Herz (US Patent No. 6,407,779 B1).

In consider claim 1, Herz discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed a signal processor for receiving a signal to be processed is met by the television set 120 (Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 54-65), and 2) the claimed an information handling system for receiving an output signal provided by said signal processor, the output signal being representative of at least a portion of the input signal, wherein said information handling system provides a control signal to said signal processor and said signal processor encodes data onto the output signal in response to the control signal

Art Unit: 2614

such that the encoded data is decodable by said information handling system is met by the remote controller system 220 (Figs. 1 and 2, col. 3, lines 8-65).

In consider claim 2, the claimed said signal processor including a data encoder for encoding the data onto the output signal, and said information handling system having a data decoder for decoding the data from the output signal received from said signal processor is met by the OSD/DTC/VBI slice 222 of the television set 220 (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 8-27) and the IRDA encoder/decoder 303 (Fig. 3, col. 3, line 66 to col. 4, line 31).

In consider claim 3, the claimed said information handling system having a transmitter for transmitting the control signal to said signal processor, and said signal processor having a receiver and decoder for receiving and decoding the control signal received from said information handling system is met by the IRDA transceiver 213 (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 8-65 and col. 5, line 33 to col. 6, line 9).

In consider claim 4, the claimed said information handling system being capable of reproducing the output signal received from said signal processor is met by the remote controller system 220 which can be able to parse and retrieve the EPG programming data when the user command is entered by having the EPG programming data stored in the remote control memory (Figs. 1 and 2, col. 3, lines 8-65).

In consider claim 5, the claimed the signal to be processed and the output signal provided by said signal processor being video signals is met by the video signal receiver 222 of the television set block 220 (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 8-27).

In consider claim 6, the claimed the output signal provided by said signal processor being a video signal, the data being encoded into a vertical blanking interval of the output signal is met by col. 15, lines 7-53.

In consider claim 7, the claimed the output signal provided by said signal processor being an NTSC compliant video signal, the data being encoded onto a vertical blanking interval of the NTSC compliant video signal is met by col. 15, lines 7-53.

In consider claim 8, the claimed the output signal provided by said signal processor being an NTSC compliant video signal, the data being encoded onto a vertical blanking interval of the NTSC compliant video signal in compliance with an Electronic Industry Association standard is met by col. 15, lines 7-53.

In consider claim 9, the claimed the control signal being a wireless signal is met by the remote controller system 220 (Figs. 1 and 2, col. 3, lines 8-65 and col. 6, lines 10-62).

In consider claim 10, the claimed said signal processor being an information storage media player is met by the A/V devices such as VCR or DVD (col. 2, lines 58-65 and col. 9, line 49 to col. 10, line 59).

Claim 11 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 1 and 3.

In consider claim 12, the claimed the data being indicative of a status of execution of the control signal is met by the MPEG programming data (col. 8, line 26 to col. 9, line 47).

Art Unit: 2614

In consider claim 13, the claimed the data being indicative of a status of said processing means is met by the A/V devices such as VCR or DVD controlling subroutine store in the remote control memory (col. 2, lines 58-65 and col. 9, line 49 to col. 10, line 59).

In consider claim 14, the claimed said processing means including means for storing at least a portion of the received signal to an information storage medium and for reproducing at least a portion of the stored signal as the output signal is met by the memory 224 of the television set block 220 (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 15-27).

Claim 15 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 1.

Claim 16 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 5 and 6.

Claim 17 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 2.

Claim 18 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 13.

In consider claim 19, the claimed further comprising the steps of encoding the data in an available vertical blanking interval of the output signal, and, in the event a vertical blanking interval is not available, interleaving the data in a previously existing data packet is met by col. 15, lines 7-53.

Claim 20 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 1.

Claim 21 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 2.

Claim 22 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 13.

Claim 23 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 6.

Claim 24 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 19.

***Conclusion***

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yuen (US Patent No. 5,659,367) discloses television on/off detector for use in a video cassette recorder.

Tsuria et al. (US Patent No. 6,424,947 B1) disclose distributed IRD system.

Sato (US Patent No. 6,408,435 B1) discloses internet downloaded programmable remote control.

Osakabe (US Patent No. 6,400,280 B1) discloses remote control signal receiver and method, and remote control system.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Trang U. Tran** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-0090**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **John W. Miller**, can be reached at **(703) 305-4795**.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**


**(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)**

Art Unit: 2614

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

TT TT  
August 19, 2002

  
**JOHN MILLER**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**